UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/588,455	08/04/2006	Toshio Tanaka	4633-0177PUS1	5755		
	7590 03/24/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER				
PO BOX 747		CHIESA, RICHARD L				
FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1797			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/24/2009	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Δnnli	cation No.	1	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			38,455		TANAKA ET AL.				
		Exam	<u> </u>		Art Unit				
	•								
The MAII IN	IG DATE of this communi		rd L. Chiesa		respondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply	O DATE OF UNS COMMUNICATION	cation appears o	Tine cover since	st with the cor	respondence ad	idi e33			
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOO ONGER, FROM THE M. be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this comm specified above, the maximum sta- be set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months a sustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE O of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMU no event, however, ma and will expire SIX (6) I se application to becom	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
<u> </u>	to communication(s) file	d on 04 August 1	2006						
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 August 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
'		/ 		mattara proce	soution as to the	morito io			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Closed III ac	cordance with the practic	e under Ex parte	; Quayle, 1955 (C.D. 11, 433	O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claim	s								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the ab	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)☐ Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) ☐ Claim(s)									
8) <u></u> Claim(s)	are subject to restric	tion and/or electi	on requirement.	•					
Application Papers									
<u> </u>	ation is objected to by the	Evaminor							
•	•		ccented or h)	7 objected to	by the Evamine	ar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
,		by the Examine	. Note the attac	oned emee / t		102.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
3.⊠ Copie	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:									
. aps									

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed on August 4, 2006 has been entered.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers

have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings filed on August 4, 2006 are objected to for the following reasons: Figures

10 and 11 should apparently be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that

which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37

CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37

CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not

accepted by the examiner, the applicants will be notified and informed of any required corrective

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (A) The specification

apparently fails to indicate that this case is the national stage of International Application No.

PCT/JP2005/001783, filed on February 7, 2005. (B) The word "this" on the seventh line of

paragraph [0004] on page 2 should apparently be changed to --there--. (C) The word "singe" on

the sixth line of paragraph [0009] on page 3 should apparently be changed to --single--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 5 is objected to because the numeral "4" at the beginning of the last line of claim 5

should apparently be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point

Application/Control Number: 10/588,455

Art Unit: 1797

out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted 8. prior art described on pages 1-3 of the specification in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,601,633 to Ponizovsky et al. The admitted prior art described on pages 1-3 of the specification discloses an air purification apparatus with a plurality of discharge electrodes and a counter electrode causing streamer discharge by applying a cyclically varying voltage substantially as claimed. However, it would appear that the admitted prior art may not explicitly mention that the frequency of the applied voltage is greater than or equal to the generated frequency of the streamer discharge. In any case, Ponizovsky et al (note col. 1, lines 19-32; col. 2, lines 16-27; col. 3, line 34 to col. 4, line 49; col. 5, line 60 to col. 7, line 22; col. 9, lines 12-30) teach the well-known use of carefully-regulated frequency levels in an air purification device for the purpose of ensuring proper streamer discharge pulses. Consequently, it would have been readily obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ an applied voltage frequency greater than or equal to the generated streamer discharge frequency in the admitted prior art air purification apparatus in order to produce efficient streamer discharge pulses by carefully regulating the frequency levels as taught by Ponizovsky et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,455 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants'

disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other air purification

systems.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa

March 18, 2009

/Richard L. Chiesa/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1797